Scott. II-27—45,606—18-12-30.

(1932) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. No. 159

159

DATED 3-2-1932.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Fisheries - Fish Curing Yard - Palikkabady - Temporary - retention - sanctioned -

Scott II-74—20,0

Department

C. No.

List of records put up.

Number.	Date.	Department	Signature of Clerk putting up the paper	Signature of removing the
85	Dec 1-4 [illegible]			

DEPARTMENT.

UNDER SECRETARY TO G
7-NOV 1931
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Received 7-NOV 1931 19   Registered Dev. 2262 IV
7-11-31

Subject.

Fish curing yards - Baikam~~p~~badi - Temporary retention - renewal.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

Ref. No. 2693-F/31.

Station Madras. Dated the 6th November 1931.

From (Name) Dr. B.Sundara Raj, M.A., Ph.D.,
(Designation) Director of Fisheries,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
DEVELOPMENT Department.

Sir,

L

Ref:- G.O.No.685 MS Development dated 1st April 1930.

In their order quoted above Government accepted my proposal that the Baikam~~p~~badi fish curing yard should be taken over by the Department. The yard was accordingly taken over by the Department on 1-4-1930. The sanction accorded by Government in the same Government Order for the employment of the staff expires on 31st March 1932.

This yard was opened as a private yard on 15-9-1929. The following statement shows the receipts and expenditure of the yard and the quantity of consumed from the date of opening up to the end of the last fisheries year.

Year.	Salt consumed.		Receipts.			Expenditure.		
	Mds.	Srs.	Rs	A.	P.	Rs	A.	P.
1929-30.	626	0	782	8	0	823	6	11
1930-31.	363	8	454	0	0	720	5	6

The yard has worked only during two poor fishing seasons during which even the oldest and well established yards have shown a decline in operations.. Considering the unfavourable seasons the operations in this new yard cannot be said to be poor. I therefore request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the continuance of this yard for another two years ~~on trial~~ from 1-4-1932, on trial

3/ Necessary provision has been made in the budget for 1932-33.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servan

for Director of Fisheries.

3 Dev

Pg 18/12/31

pp 1-2 C.F.

7/12

O.M. 2262 IV-31-1 d/ 17-11-31

Letter from the D.F.
Ref. No 2693 F/31 d/
6-11-31

Fish curing yards –
Baikambadi –
Temporary retention

In view of the loss in the working of the yard, the D.F. is requested to ascertain and report :–

(a) Whether the curers will agree to make good the loss in the working of the Yard (fm 1.4.32) ai

(b) whether they will pay such deposit in ~~deposit~~ advance as m be fixed by the Director

2/ He is also reques to report whether the establishment in the Yard can be reduced to a single peon until at least the transactions improve

RL ..31

Dev. 80-II
6-1-32

C.F. 30.

DEPARTMENT.

Received UNDER SECRETARY TO DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT 6 JAN 1932 19

Registered 19 .

Subject.

Fish curing yards - Baikambadi - temporary retention.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

Ref. No. 2693-F/31

Station Madras, Dated the 4th January 1932

From (Name) Dr. B. Sundara Raj, M.A., Ph.D.,
(Designation) Director of Fisheries.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Development Department.

Sir,

Ref. Government Memorandum No.2262-IV/31-1
dated 17-12-31.

---

pp6-7 inf.

I have the honour to enclose a statement in original with its translation received from the curers of Baikambadi fish curing yard, undertaking to make good the loss if any, that may be incurred in the working of the yard.

2. The operations in the yard this year have shown a decided improvement. The consumption of salt in the yard from 1-7-1931 to 13-12-31 is 898 Mds. which is more than double the consumption of salt for

the whole of last year. The yard may, therefore, be retained.

3. It le to work a yard with one pec as a single peon cannot be posted on duty for the whole 24 hours in a day. If the staff is reduced to one peon, apart from the inconvenience to the curers in the matter of getting salt in time and whenever they require it, the yard will have to remain unguarded during the period the peon is off duty, which is not condusive to the safety of duty free salt stored in the yard. In the circumstanc I have the honour to state that no reduction in staff is possible.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Dev. 424 #
30.1.32

8

C.F. 30

DEPARTMENT.

Received 20 JAN 1932 19 Registered 19

Subject.

~~6~~ 6 [illegible]
11-12-31

[illegible]

Ref. no. 54748/31 29-11-31 [illegible]

[illegible] 900 [illegible] 600 [illegible] 500 [illegible]

1 [illegible]
2 [illegible] III [illegible]
III [illegible] 3 [illegible]
4 [illegible]
III [illegible] 5 [illegible]
III [illegible] 6 [illegible]
7 [illegible]
8 [illegible]
9 [illegible]

13 XII.31

P.F.O. for translation

~~If therefore the staff is reduced to one peon it will~~

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Director of Fisheries.

Dev

Backambady - Fish curing Yard - temporary retention

From the DF Ref 2693 F/31 D
5-11-31

To Asst Secy

Please see the DF's letter on pp 1-2 CF

2/ It will be seen from the Statement 'C' at p 2 CF tha the loss in the working of the yard during 1929-30 an 1930-31 was Rs 40-14-11 and Rs 266-5-6 respectively. In these circumstances it is submitted for orders whet the retention of the yar for any further period wil be agreed to

3/ In the case of some yards on the west coast which were closed by the DF as the transacti were considered to be poor the Director recommended their reopening subject t the following conditions

(1) that the curers agree

to make good the loss in
the working of the yard and
(ii) that they make a deposit
in advance as stipulated.
[illegible] the P.D.S. [illegible] 7 to [illegible]

16.11. Submitted that in
the detention in this case
it may be agreed to subject
to the above conditions. [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] Draft a memo asking D.F. whether the same will be agreed to by the owners and whether the staff [illegible] on the yard can be permitted to [illegible] at least the transactions [illegible]
18/11/31

[illegible]

To Asst Secy
A draft om is submitted for approval
13/11/31
14/11/31

Issued as om No 2262 IV-31-1 dt 17-11

From the D.F. Ref No 2693 F/3 dt 4-1-32
To Asst Secy
Please see the D.F.'s letter

2/12

On pp 4-5 CF.

2/ It will be seen from the statement of the carriers on p 7 cf that they are willing to make good the loss up to the extent of 50 bags of salt. ~~Presumably~~ The Director is satisfied with the working of the yard and that probably expla ~~for~~ the absence of any comment on the part of the D.F. in para 1 of his letter. In para 2 of his letter he recommends the retention of the yard. Subm that this may be agreed to.

3/ In para of the letter the D.F. points the difficulty in reducing the staff in the yard to one peon: For orders whether the existing staff of one petty yard offi and a peon may be continued.

19.1.32

4. The case may be sent to Finance for acceptance of the proposal

.32

The yard may be continued

for one more year from 1.4.32 or until close of the fishing 1932-33 (i.e. till end of June 1933). Owing to the favourable season there is not likely to be any loss this year.

We need not impose any conditions till the future policy in the light of the Retr. Committee's recommendations has been settled. The conditions in ~~[illegible]~~ the O.M. were those suggested ~~in the case of~~ for reopening the yards temporarily closed by the D.F.

K

RK
11.1.32

S. Draft

12.1.32

To Asst Secy

A draft order is submitted for approval. It may be sent to Fin for acceptance.

12.1.32

RK
14.1.32

Finance cio

13.1.32

2262 IV.31-1
15.1.32

ASST. UNDER SECY. TO GOVT.
15 JAN 1932
FINANCE DEPT.

Finance GT.

The Development Dept propose to sanction the retention of the fish curing yard at Baikambadi, temporarily for a further period of one year from 1-4-32

It will be observed from the statement of receipts and expenditu for 1929-30 and 1930-31 that the losses to Govt. (during the two years) were Rs 40-14-11 and Rs 266-5-6 (respectively). The losses are stated to be due to the fact that the fishing seasons were poor. In the current year it is stated that there is a decided improvement and that 898 maunds of salt have already been consumed.

B on p 2 cf

para 2 on p 4 cf

2. The Development Department propose to sanction the continuance of the yard for another year from 1-4-1932. They state that in view of the favourable season there is not likely to be any loss this year and that no condition need be imposed upon the curers till the future policy in the light of the recommendation of the Retrenchment Committee has been settled. The point raised by the Retrenchment Committee what the price of salt in fish curing yards which work at a loss should be adjusted from time to time in such a way as to balance revenue and expenditure.

Draft order

K on p 4 ante

M on p 4 ante

X on p 2 of the proceedings of the Ret Committee (B)

3. Submitted that as there is no guarantee that the season will be favourable during the next year also to which the proposed sanction relates and as the curers themselves are willing to make good the loss to Govt. in running the yard and deposit such amount as is necessary in advance, Finance may suggest that the retention of the yard should be subject to the above two conditions. Such an order will not in any way clash with the orders that may be issued on the recommendation of the Retrenchment Committee referred to. If it is decided to adjust the price of salt in such a way as to wipe out losses, the curers in this case will not have to make good any loss.

p 7 cf

SR
20.1.32.

Subject to the above remark the ~~draft order~~ proposal may be accepted.

Vns
20.1.32

20/1/32
Dev.

DS
DW
Fin U.O. 1777 [illegible]
20/1/32

Dev. 304 B

Please see the Dept note above. A revised draft order is submitted for approva[l]

26.1.32

29.1.32

29.1.32

30/1

3/1

30/1

1.2.32

To Asst Secy

Please see the further letter received from the DF at pp8-9. No action is called for. The draft may now ...

Issue

1.2.32

2.2.32

Ub

Dev DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

Fisheries - Fish curing yard - Baikambady - Temporar Retention - Sanctioned

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 685, dated 1-4- 1930. (L)

" " " " " 19

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 2262 IV/31 from the DF Ref No. 2693 F/31 dated 6-11- 1931.

" To the DF on 2262 IV/31 17-11- 1931.

" 86 T. From the DF Ref 2893 F/31 d 4-1- 1932

ORDER. No. 159, dated 3 - 2 - 1932

Ms

The Govt sanction t retention with the existing staff of the fish curing yard at Baikambadi ~~temporar~~ for a further period of one year from 1-4-32 subject to th conditions that the curers agree to mak good the loss to Govt in running the yard and deposit in advance such ~~advance~~ amou may be fixed by the D.F. as ~~is necessary in advan~~

KK
29/1/32

The D F ✓
[illegible]

261.32